Rubric for Science Poster

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Organization | Clutter, no definitive sections, all over the place Not all sections present | No heading, but sectioned Hard to follow, requires assistance Missing parts Obvious refinement required | All present but unclear Must reread for clarity Some evidence of refinement | Defined sections Clear headings Flows nicely to assist the reader without help Finished product |
| Creativity | Bland, no variability No use of color or diagrams Boring to look at, does not catch your attention Interest, motivation, effort and time obviously absent | • Very little use of color or pictures but enough to engage and hold attention | Some use of color, diagrams, etc. Will engage but will not stimulate | Interesting, engaging, visually stimulating Aesthetically appealing use of color, diagrams and text Interest, motivation, effort and time obviously present |
| Science Content and Literacy | No analysis of science topic No explanation No science specific connection No use of resources | Poor explanation Inaccurate science connection Misinterprets the science One resource for sure | Adequate explanation Science connection present but could be developed further More than one resource present | Concept fully and properly explained Insight present Science specific connection made Content is accurate, comprehensive and well supported Excellent use of resources |
| Level and Difficulty of Understanding | Task difficulty not suitable for grade level/not related to science (too easy) Superficial/irr elevant task | Explanation describes minimal level of validity Needs serious refinement | Task difficulty could be increased or developed Some level of understanding shown | Difficulty appropriate for grade level Understanding present and apparent |

(Principle contribution by Regina Public School, June 2003)